

Letter to the Editor



## SPINAL CORD STIMULATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: INSIGHTS FROM ITALY

A. Dario1\*, M. Innamorato2, G. Frigerio3

<sup>1</sup>Neurosurgical clinic, ASST Settelaghi, Varese, Italy;
<sup>2</sup>Pain therapy AUSL Romagna, Ravenna, Italy;
<sup>3</sup>Pain Therapy, Villa Aprica Clinical Institute, Como, Italy

\**Correspondence to*: Alessandro Dario, MD Neurosurgical Clinic ASST Settelaghi, Via Borri 57, 21100 Varese, Italy e-mail: dario.alessandro@virgilio.it

## KEYWORDS: Covid-19, spinal cord stimulation, spine surgery

## To the Editor

The COVID-19 pandemic, which emerged in 2019, had a significant impact on Italy, notably in the Lombardy region. Starting from March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2020, all non-urgent surgical procedures were suspended across the country. As a result, the implantation of spinal cord stimulators (SCS) was deemed non-essential and postponed during the pandemic to efficiently allocate the limited resources overwhelmed by COVID-19. Thus, the placement of new stimulators was delayed until after the acute phase of the pandemic had passed.

Data collected from three high-volume centers specializing in SCS (Como, Ravenna, and Varese), which typically conduct 40-80 implants annually, provided valuable insights concerning spinal neurostimulation procedures during this crisis. The outcomes of surgical interventions performed pre-pandemic and during the pandemic are summarized in Table I.

Hospital	Varese	Como	Ravenna
SCS trial before COVID	4	28	6
SCS trial during COVID	0	0	6
SCS definitive	4	17	12
SCS removal for:	0	9	0
Infection		2	
Trail failure		2	
Trail not done		2	
No follow up		3	
No definitive fear of COVID-19		2	

Table I. Case studies of the clinical centers.

These findings allow us to draw several conclusions. Notably, some researchers classify the elective replacement of an implantable pulse generator (IPG) as an urgent procedure or under priority category three (to be conducted within one to four weeks) (1). Therefore, this procedure can be regarded as fitting within these classifications. In the Varese

Received: 02 May 2022	ISSN 19736401 (2022)
Accepted: 28 May 2022	Copyright © by BIOLIFE
	This publication and/or article is for individual use only and may not be
	further reproduced without written permission from the copyright
	holder. Unauthorized reproduction may result in financial and other
	penalties. Disclosure: all authors report no conflicts of interest relevant
	to this article.

hospital, a designated COVID-free peripheral facility was utilized for definitive implants; however, not all hospitals have such options. In contrast, the Ravenna Hospital conducted surgeries in a dedicated COVID-free surgical area, categorizing them as surgical emergencies.

One concern is that the transition period between the trial and the definitive implant may lead to infections (2), as evidenced by Como's postoperative infection rate, which rose to 7% (typically less than 4%). It is crucial to mitigate this risk to avoid burdening a healthcare system already stretched to its limits.

To reduce the chances of infection from extended trial periods, single-step implantation, where both the electrode and IPG are placed simultaneously, might be a viable option for patients who qualify for SCS (3). This method not only minimizes the need for multiple hospital visits and a second surgical intervention but also lowers the risk of exposure for both patients and healthcare personnel. However, single-step surgery may present challenges related to reimbursement processes.

With the restrictions imposed during the pandemic, follow-up appointments between SCS programmers and patients predominantly shifted to telephone or video consultations. Nevertheless, technological barriers, particularly for elderly patients, hindered their ability to engage effectively with new systems, as highlighted by the Como clinical center, where five patients failed to receive proper guidance during their trial due to inadequate execution.

While telemedicine has emerged as a supportive tool during the pandemic, it is essential to establish clear usage parameters and understand the medicolegal implications of such practices, especially concerning SCS (4). The integration of various programming options with different wave systems in the same IPG could serve as a foundation for reducing the need for in-person hospital follow-ups, as these settings can be adjusted remotely. The latest models of neurostimulators equipped with multiple programming capabilities, as implemented in our centers, can facilitate this goal.

In summary, it is advisable to avoid the complete closure of medical centers. Referring urgent cases to alternative facilities could help prevent the deterioration of health among patients awaiting implantation (5).

## REFERENCES

- Bajunaid K, Sabbagh AJ, Ajlan A, et al. Consensus Statement of the Saudi Association of Neurological Surgery (SANS) on Triage of Neurosurgery Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Saudi Arabia. *Neurosciences*. 2020;25(2):148-151. doi:https://doi.org/10.17712/nsj.2020.2.20200054
- North R, Desai MJ, Vangeneugden J, et al. Postoperative Infections Associated With Prolonged Spinal Cord Stimulation Trial Duration (PROMISE RCT). *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface*. 2020;23(5):620-625. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.13141
- 3. Eldabe S, Baranidharan G, Gulve A, et al. *Recommendations for the Management of Implanted Neurostimulation & Intrathecal Drug Delivery Devices during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. Neuromodulation Society UK & Ireland; 2020.
- Blue R, Yang AI, Zhou C, et al. Telemedicine in the Era of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Neurosurgical Perspective. *World Neurosurgery*. 2020;139:549-557. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.05.066
- Baranidharan G, Bretherton B, Eldabe S, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patients awaiting spinal cord stimulation surgery in the United Kingdom: a multi-centre patient survey. *British Journal of Pain*. 2020;15(3):204946372094809. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/2049463720948092